

PUBLIC (SERVICES) DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 500, 8th April, 1954

Madras Public Service Commission—Report for the half-year ending 30th September 1953—Recorded.

READ—the following paper:

Letter from the Secretary, Public Service Commission, Madras-2, to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public (Services) Department, dated Madras, the 30th December 1953, No. 4885-A2/53-3.

[Madras Public Service Commission—Report for the half-year ending 30th September 1953—Government's letter No. 4287/ 53-4, Public (Partition), dated 26th October 1953.]

Under Article 323 (2) of the Constitution of India read with section 65 of the Audhra State Act, 1953, the Commission presents to the Governor of Madras the enclosed report as to the work done by it during the half-year ending 30th September 1953.

A copy of the Report is being sent to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Andhra.

ENCLOSURE

REPORT FOR THE HALF-YEAR ENDING 30th SEPTEMBER 1953.

I. PERSONNEL.

The term of office of Sri P. V. Narasimha Raju, as member expired on 13th April 1953 and in his place, Sri A. F. Mascarenhas, I.A.S., was appointed as member and he assumed charge on 14th April 1953.

II. RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURE.

The Commission's r ceipts and expenditure were as follows:-

	RECEIPTS.	
		RS A. P.
1	Fees from candidates who appeared for the competitive examinations (oral or written) conducted by the Commission	1,44,981 0 0
2	Fees from candidates who appeared for the Special Tests and Departmental Tests conducted by the Commission	39,973 0 0
	Total	1,84,954 0 0
	in large day in the	ph brightne
	Expenditure.	
1	Pay of officers	55,170 4 0
2	Pay of establishment	40,763 10 0
3	Travelling allowance and other Compensatory	7,158 11 0
4	Dearness allowance	20,127 8 0
5	Advertisement charges	10,876 2 0
6	Remuneration to examiners	14,309 8 0
7	Rents	6,600 0 0
8	Other contingencies including service postage stamps and expenditure connected with examinations	33,778 14 3
	Total	1,88,784 9 3

III. VOLUME OF CORRESPONDENCE.

The Commission dealt with 96,611 references during the half-year ending 30th September 1953. Apart from informal meetings held for personal discussion, the Commission sat on 36 days during the half-year under report for conducting oral tests for recruitment. On account of the impending partition of the State and the consequent postponement of normal recruitment till after the service position had stabilised itself, the Commission's recruitment was on a limited scale.

IV. WRITTEN EXAMINATION CONDUCTED BY THE COMMISSION.

SPECIAL TESTS.

The Commission held the Special Tests in June 1953 at 28 Centres. There were 9,268 candidates in June 1953 as against 9,619 in June 1952 and 8,683 in December 1952.

The number of applications received for admission to the examination in December 1953 (last date being 30th September 1953) was 8,693 of which 3,492 related to candidates from the Andhra State. Arrangements for conducting the December 1953 examination by these tests and by the Departmental Tests were made by this Commission for all Centres of the Composite State, On the formation of the Andhra State on the 1st October 1953, the papers, applications, etc., relating to Centres in the Andhra State were transferred to the Andhra Public Service Commission.

An analysis of the results in the tests held in June 1953 is given in Appendix A.

DEPARTMENTAL TESTS.

The Commission held the Departmental Tests in June 1953 at 26 centres. There were 4,146 candidates in June 1953 as against 3,761 in June 1952 and 3,708 in December 1952.

The number of applications received for admission to the examination in December 1953 (last date being 30th September 1953) was 4,152 of which 1,615 applications related to candidates from the Andhra State.

A new Departmental Test for Officers of the Probation Department was introduced and conducted with effect from June 1953.

An analysis of the results in the tests held in June 1953 is given in Appendix A-1.

HALF-YEARLY EXAMINATIONS IN LAW AND LANGUAGES FOR ASSISTANT COLLECTORS, POLICE OFFICERS, ETC.

During the half-year ending 30th September 1953 the Commission held these examinations in July 1953. The number of candidates for each of these tests, and an analysis of the results are given in Appendix A-2.

EXAMINATION FOR THE SELECTION OF CANDIDATES FOR ADMISSION TO THE PRINCE OF WALES'S MILITARY COLLEGE, DEHRA DUN.

During the half-year ending 30th September 1953, the Commission conducted as usual on behalf of the Principal, Prince of Wales's Military College, Dehra Dun, the written examination for the selection of candidates for admission to the Prince of Wales's Military College, Dehra Dun, in May 1953 and in September 1953. The papers were set and supplied by the Principal, Prince of Wales's Military College, Dehra Dun, and the answer papers of the candidates were transmitted to him for marking.

COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION—GROUP IV SERVICES.

Clerks, etc., in the Madras Ministerial Service and in the Madras Judicial Ministerial Service.

For the direct recruitment of clerks, etc., to the Madras Ministerial Service and the Madras Judicial Ministerial Service, the Commission invited applications in June 1953 and the examination

was held in October 1953. In response to the Commission's advertisement, applications were received from 19,480 candidates. Seven thousand one hundred and forty-five applications related to candidates from the Andhra State. These applications together with the applications of 413 candidates who appeared at the centres situated in the Residuary State but sought appointment in the Andhra State, with their answer books and the marks lists have since been transferred to the Andhra Public Service Commission.

OTHER COMPETITIVE EXAMINATIONS.

The other competitive examinations held by the Commission are dealt with in Section VI of this report.

REPORTS FROM EXAMINERS.

Extracts from the reports of the examiners on the answer books valued by them are given in Appendix B. The position revealed by these reports is summarised as follows:—

Special Tests—June 1953.

The performance of the most of the candidates was not satisfactory. Many appeared without proper preparation. Copying verbatim from books, low standard of English, spelling and grammatical mistakes were some of the defects pointed out by the examiners. In the Civil Judicial Test, Part I and in the Account Test for Executive Officers, candidates had done fairly well.

Departmental Tests—June 1953.

The performance of the candidates was generally satisfactory except in some of the Departmental Tests.

In these excepted cases and in some of the language tests, the performance of the candidates was poor. Neglect of grammar and idiom, mistakes in spelling and translation were some of the defects noticed by the examiners.

V. STATUTORY RULES, REGULATIONS, ETC.

(I) PROMULGATION AND AMENDMENTS.

- (a) Statutory rules or amendments to them were issued by the Government in 122 cases during the half-year ending 30th, September 1953. In respect of 48 of these, the Commission was consulted before the rules or amendments were issued. Many of the rest were amendments of a routine nature. Excluding such routine cases, there were 57 cases in which the Commission was not consulted. These cases related either to posts excluded from the purview of the Commission or to matters in respect of which under Article 320 (3) of the Constitution of India it was not necessary for the Commission to be consulted.
- (b) In G.O. No. 2423, Home, dated 29th July 1953, the Special Rules for the newly constituted Madras Transport Service were issued.

- (c) In G.O. No. 1512, L.A., dated 11th July 1953, the special rules for the newly constituted Madras Panchayat Subordinate Service were issued.
- (d) In G.O. No. 2249, Public (Services), dated 20th August 1953, the Madras Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules were reissued.
- (e) In G.O. No. 1335, Public (Services), dated 20th May 1953, Government issued a rule whereby a person appointed for the first time after 15th March 1953 should not derive any statutory right by virtue of his appointment even though he was selected on a regular basis. This was consequent on the direction that all appointments should be made on temporary basis to facilitate retrenchment after partition of the State on 1st October 1953.
- (f) In G.O No. 2687, Public (Services), dated 28th September 1953, the Madras State and Subordinate Services Rules, Parts I and II were reissued.
- (g) In G.O. No. 3114, Home, dated 6th October 1953, the special rules for the newly constituted Madras State Judicial Service were issued.
- (h) The Government have since reissued under the proviso to Article 309 of the Constitution the Special Rules for the following Services:—
 - (1) Madras Civil Service (Executive Branch).
 - (2) Madras Co-operative Service.
 - (3) Madras Engineering Service.
 - (4) Madras Medical Service.
 - (5) Madras Agricultural Subordinate Service.
 - (6) Madras Co-operative Subordinate Service.
 - (7) Madras Fisheries Subordinate Service.
- (8) Madras General Subordinate Service relating to Class XXVI.
 - (9) Madras Industries Subordinate Service.
 - (10) Madras Last Grade Service.
 - (11) Madras Public Health Subordinate Service.
 - (12) Madras Revenue Subordinate Service.
 - (13) Madras Secretariat Service.

(ii) RELAXATIONS.

There were 500 cases in which the statutory rules were relaxed by the Government under the rule issued in G.O. No. 400, Public (Services), dated 26th January 1950. Of these, 94 cases related to the relaxations of prescribed qualifications in favour of persons not in Government service.

(iii) TEMPORARY APPOINTMENTS

During the period under report, there were 743 cases in which the concurrence of the Commission was sought and given for the continuance beyond a total period of three months of temporary appointments made under the emergency provisions by the appointing authorities in various departments.

In a large number of cases, the appointing authorities had failed to obtain the concurrence of the Commission in sufficient time before the expiry of the three months' period [as required by paragraph 2 of G.O. No. 4021, Public (Services), dated 9th January 1943]. The attention of the appointing authorities was again drawn to the Government Order on the subject.

(iv) Exclusion of posts from the Service Rules.

The conditions of service in the following posts were declared by the Government to be matters not suitable for regulation by rule:—

- (i) Private Secretary to the Governor; and
- (ii) City Khadi Officer.

(v) Exclusion of posts from the Commission's purview.

The following posts were excluded from the purview of the Commission during the period:—

- (i) Director and Deputy Director of Information and Publicity;
 - (ii) Private Secretary to the Governor.

The two posts in item (i) above were temporary posts and were not included in any service till 26th March 1953. In 1950, while agreeing to the appointments being made without reference to the Commission, the Commission stated that its agreement was subject to the conditions that the person appointed to either of the posts was not regarded as entitled by reason only of such appointment to any preferential claim for future appointment to that or any other post and that the posts were not included even temporarily in any of the services as defined in the Madras State and Subordinate Services Rules. Rules including the posts in a service were issued in March 1953 and a clause was also inserted in the rules excluding the posts from the Commissioner's purview.

The posts fell within the Commission's purview; but reasons for issuing the rules without consultation with the Commission and for excluding appointments from the Commission's purview were not given.

(vi) VIOLATION OF STATUTORY RULES.

The Special Rules for the Madras Ministerial Service require that the Commission should be consulted before a probationer or an approved probationer in a post in the Madras Ministerial Service is transferred from an office in one district to an office in another district. In a number of instances, the Secretary of the Madras Legislature did not obtain the approval of the Commission for transferring clerks and typists to the office of the Legislature from offices in the mufassal. When this violation of the rule came to the Commission's notice, it was pointed out to the Secretary. The Secretary was unaware of the procedure and sought ratification of the appointments made by him in contravention of the rule and the transfers were ratified by the Commission.

(vii) Appointments made under Regulation 16 (b) of the Madras Public Service Commission Regulations, 1950.

In the following cases the Commission's concurrence was sought and given under the latter part of Regulation 16 (b) of the Madras Public Service Commission Regulations, 1950, for the making of appointments without the ordinary statutory reference to the Commission required under Article 320 (3) (a) of the Constitution of India:—

	Serial number departn		ne of		Name of post.	Number of cases.
1	Electricity		. • •	٠.	Supervisor (Electrical), 11 Grade.	1
2	Do.				Assistant Engineer (Electrical)	1
3	Do.	•••	•••	••	Assistant Engineer, Supervisor, Lower Division Clerks and Store-keeper.	
4	Do.		• •	50 ••	Clerks	28
. 5	Jail				Jailor	1
-6	Do			•	Lady Deputy Superintendent	. 1
. , 7 .	Survey	•	••	•••	Assistant to the Photographic and Printing Expert.	1
. 8	Do.		••	•••	Photographic and Printing Expert.	g 1
9	Fisheries		4 .		Inspector of Fisheries	1
10	Education	••	••	••	Instructors in Civil Engineering.	. 2
11	Do.	• 4	• •	• • •	Store-keeper	. 1
12	Agriculture		••	••	Research Assistant in Chemistry.	1.
13	Forest		•••		Clerks	3
14	Public Work	s Depart	tment		Do	. 4

VI. RECRUITMENT TO THE SERVICES.

(a) DIRECT RECRUITMENT BY COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION COMPRIS-ING AN ORAL TEST IN THE SHAPE OF AN INTERVIEW DURING THE HALF-YEAR ENDING 30TH SEPTEMBER 1953.

During the half-year under report, in view of the formation of the Andhra State the Government issued instructions to the appointing authorities to postpone their requisitions for recruitment except in cases where appointment of new recruits was considered by them to be absolutely necessary for administrative reasons. Even in such cases, the Government ordered that candidates should be allotted by the Commission from its current examination lists of 1952 and that only in cases where no candidates were available in those lists recruitment should be proceeded with and lists of candidates supplied as usual and that such appointments should be made on a strictly temporary basis. In view of these orders, many appointing authorities postponed their requisitions for recruitment to various posts in the State and Subordinate Services.

During the half-year, the Commission selected candidates by competitive examination comprising an oral test in the shape of an interview for direct recruitment to 22 classes of posts in the State and Subordinate Services. The posts for which the selections were made are given in Appendix C together with particulars regarding the number of candidates who applied, the number qualified and examined, the number selected and the qualifications of the selected candidates. The total number of applications examined was 530.

Reports of departmental experts on the technical attainments and performance of candidates at the oral test wherever furnished are given in Appendix C-1.

Recruitment of School Assistants in the Madras Educational Subordinate Service.

At the instance of the Director of Public Instruction, Madras. the Commission invited in January 1951 applications for appointment as School Assistants in the Madras Educational Subordinate Service. After the receipt of the applications, the Director of Public Instruction proposed certain revised qualifications in respect of Science Assistants which involved an amendment of the Special Rules. The Director of Public Instruction was requested to move the Government to amend the rules. After some further correspondence and more than a year after the publication of the advertisement inviting applications, the Government forwarded to the Commission in July 1952 certain draft amendments to the rules to implement the Director of Public Instruction's suggestion. The Commission agreed but suggested certain enhanced age-limits to enable those that could not apply in 1951 to apply. In January 1953, the Government informed the Commission that the question of the retention of some of the qualifications as sufficient for the post of School Assistant was under consideration in connexion with the re-issue of the Special Rules for the Madras Educational Subordinate Service and that the Commission would be informed of their decision to enable it to proceed with recruitment. Subsequently, the Government decided in July 1953 to defer recruitment of School Assistant due to partition of the State, as also the revision of the Special Rules for the Madras Educational Subordinate Service. In view of this postponement, the recruitment of School Assistants advertised in January 1951 was dropped and the application fees paid by the candidates were refunded to them.

Recruitment to the posts of Principals and Heads of Technical Sections of Polytechnics in the Madras Industries Service.

At the instance of the Government, the Commission invited in September 1950 applications for appointment to the posts of Principals and Heads of Technical Sections of Polytechnics in the Madras Industries Service in the Madras State and after holding the prescribed competitive examination prepared lists of successful candidates and communicated them to the Government in January After more than a year after the communication of the lists the Commission was told on 31st March 1952 that as the recruitment was made in accordance with the then existing communal rule, which had been held to be ultra vires of the Constitution, the Government had decided to have a fresh selection for the posts in accordance with the revised reservation of appointments rules. Government requested the Commission to make a fresh recruitment to these and other posts totalling in all 26 appointments. The 26 appointments were advertised in June 1952 and lists of successful candidates which were drawn up on the results of the examinations held in December 1952 were communicated to the Government in January 1953. After the lapse of nine months, the Government informed the Commission in October 1953 that for administrative reasons it had become necessary not to fill up the posts for which recruitment was asked to be made by the Commission at a time when the question of separation of the State was not so definite, and that they did not propose to pass any orders on the lists drawn up the second time. The lists were communicated to the Government in January 1953 and the partition of the State took place only on 1st October 1953. In the Commission's opinion, regular appointments could have been made from the Commission's list, as the posts were held by candidates appointed under the emergency provisions of the rules. The dropping of the selection after such a long delay on two occasions has resulted in unnecessary expenditure and has tended to shake confidence in the Commission's selection.

(b) DIRECT RECRUITMENT BY COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION COM-PRISING BOTH A WRITTEN TEST AND AN ORAL TEST IN THE SHAPE OF AN INTERVIEW DURING THE HALF-YEAR ENDING 30TH SEPTEMBER 1953.

(i) State Services.

The Commission did not hold the combined competitive examination comprising both a written test [B.A. (Hons.) Standard] and an oral test prescribed for direct recruitment to the State Services classified as non-technical, as no vacancy was expected to arise.

The Government stated that vacancies which were considered absolutely necessary to be filled for administrative reasons, could be filled by candidates to be supplied by the Commission from the examination list prepared on the results of the examination held in 1952. Two candidates were accordingly selected for appointment as Assistant Director of Survey and Land Records in the Madras Survey and Land Records Service from that list.

(ii) Subordinate Services-Group II.

Applications were invited for admission to the competitive examination of the B.A. standard for direct recruitment to the following services and posts:—

- 1. Madras Co-operative Subordinate Service—Senior Inspector of Co-operative Societies.
- 2. Madras Ministerial Service—Lower division clerk in the office of the Board of Revenue, Madras.

Recruitment to item 2 is to be made on the basis of a written test only. The number of applicants and the number admitted to the written test were 442 and 330 respectively. On the date of the partition recruitment was pending and it is confined to vacancies expected to arise in the Madras (Residuary) State.

(iii) Subordinate Services-Group III.

Applications were invited for admission to the competitive examination of the Intermediate Standard for direct recruitment to the following services and posts:—

- 1. Madras Co-operative Subordinate Service—Senior Inspector of Co-operative Societies (reserved for Scheduled castes, Scheduled tribes and backward classes only).
- 2. Madras Co-operative Subordinate Service—Junior Inspector of Co-operative Societies.
- 3. Madras Fisheries Subordinate Service—Assistant Fishery Demonstrator and Assistant Inspector of Fisheries.

The number of applicants and the number admitted were 2,202 and 1,925 respectively. Some of the vacancies were expected to arise in the Andhra State. The applications, answer papers and marks lists, etc., of the written tests in respect of candidates from the Andhra State have since been transferred to the Andhra Public Service Commission for further action for holding oral tests and making recruitment against vacancies in that State. Oral tests for recruitment against vacancies in the Madras (Residuary) State will be held shortly.

Recruitment of candidates belonging to the Aboriginal tribes for appointment as Junior Inspector of Co-operative Societies in the Agency tracts.

In order to give encouragement to the educated men of the Aboriginal tribes, the Government ordered that candidates belonging to the Aboriginal tribes who possessed the prescribed qualifications should be selected for appointment as Junior Inspector of Co-operative Societies in the Agency tracts without being subjected to any test, written or oral. The Commission accordingly invited applications for two appointments for this class of post from among the candidates belonging to the Aboriginal tribes. In response to the notification only one qualified candidate applied and he was selected.

(c) RECRUITMENT TO THE STATE SERVICES BY TRAKSFER FROM THE CORRESPONDING SUBORDINATE SERVICES.

During the half-year under report, the Commission dealt with 28 references, relating to the State Services and either drew up approved lists of officers of the corresponding subordinate services who were qualified and suitable for appointment to the following State Services or advised on the suitability of the officers nominated for such appointments:—

Madras Animal Husbandry Service.

Madras Civil Service (Executive Branch).

Madras Co-operative Service.

Madras Educational Service.

Madras Electrical Service.

Madras Excise Service.

Madras Fisheries Service.

Madras Forest Service.

Madras General Service.

Madras Medical Service.

Madras Police Service.

Madras Public Health Service.

VII. PAUCITY OF QUALIFIED AND SUITABLE CANDIDATES FOR CERTAIN POSTS.

STATE SERVICES.

- (a) There were no qualified and suitable applicants for the following posts:—
 - (1) Chillies Specialist in the Madras Agricultural Service.
- (2) Superintendent, Livestock Research Station, Hosur, in the Madras Animal Husbandry Service.
- (b) In the following cases, the number of applicants was small and so the field of selection on a competitive basis was limited.

	N	umber of
	Posts adver tised.	- appli-
	(1)	(2)
1 Assistant Director of Fisheries (Engineer), Madras (En	ories 1	2
2 Assistant Oil Seeds Specialist, Madras Agricultural Serv	ric⊖ 1	2
3 Superintendent, Agricultural Research Station (Carrena Research), Madras Agricultural Service	conut 1	3
4 Assistant Professor of Dental Surgery, Madras Mervice	fedical 1	2
5 Health Officer, Class II, in the Madras Public Health S	ervice. 36	24

Number of

		Posts adver- tised.	Qualified applicants.
a kya salahan ji salah		(1)	(2)
SUBORDINATE SERVICES.			
1 Madras Educational Subordinate Service-			
(i) Assistant Lecturers in Government Training C —Tamil—Education	olleges	2	2
(ii) Assistant Lecturers in Government Training Coll Tamil—Mathematics	eges—	1	1
(iii) Assistant Lecturers in Government Training Coll Tamil—Science	eges— ··	2	1
(iv) Assistant Lecturers in Government Training Coll Malayalam	eges —	1	1
(v) Assistant Lecturers in Governmet Training Coll Telugu	eges-	1	2
2 Madras Co-operative Subordinate Service— Dairy Chemist		1	1

VIII. APPEALS, PROPOSALS FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION, ETC.

The Commission advised on 17 appeals, 10 memorials, or petitions, 8 proposals for disciplinary action and 3 cases relating to reimbursement of the cost of defence incurred by public servants. Orders of Government were received during the half-year in respect of 14 appeals, 10 memorials or petitions 5 proposals for disciplinary action and 3 cases relating to reimbursement of the cost of defence incurred by public servants.

IX. GOVERNMENT AND THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE COMMISSION.

During the half-year under report there was no case in which the advice of the Commission was not accepted by the Government.

> C. KRISHNASWAMI CHETTY, Secretary, Madras Public Service Commission.

APPENDIX A. Special Tests—June 1953.

	,	;	,	,	,	Ē	,	rercentage to ex	rereautage or passed to examined.	
Name of the test.	Number	Number	Number	Numpe	Number passed.	Total.	feiled	-Time	Dagamhar	
	admired.	арвене.	examined.	I Class.	II Class.		range.	1953.	1952.	
(1)	(3)	(3)	(4)	(2)	(9)	(2)	(8)	(6)	(10)	
The Translation Test-								/		
Tamil	62	χĢ	57	Nil.	29	29	28	50.87	20	
Telugu	38	п	37	1	14	15	22	40.54	52	
Malayalam	12	H	11	Nil.	က	က		27.27	30	
Kannada	9	Nil.	9	Níl.	63	23	4	33.33	45.45	
Urdu	27	Nil.	61	Nil.	63	67	Nil.	100	*:	
The Civil Judicial Test, Part I	131	49	85	9	26	62	20	75.6	62.3	
The Civil Judicial Test, Part II	157	30	127	7	44	45	82	35.4	31.6	
The Revenue Test, Part I	2,064	204	1,560	Nil.	303	303	1,257	19.35	13.57	
The Revenue Test, Part II	1,407	331	1,076	17	477	494	582	45.9	33.66	
The Revenue Test, Part III	1,463	374	1,089	Nii.	325	325	764	29.8	30.67	
The Criminal Judicial Test, Part I	402	122	280	61	29	19	219	21.78	35	
The Code of Criminal Procedure as prescribed for the Criminal Judicial Test, Part I.	9	F	יב	, H	4	rò	Nil.	100	*	
The Criminal Judicial Test, Part II.	714	183	531	Nil.	84	84	447	15.8	22.77	
The Indian Evidence Act as pres- cribed for the Criminal Judicial Test, Part II.	06	18.	72	Nil.	13	13	59	17.8	58.7	
Medical Jurisprudence as prescribed for the Criminal Judicial Test, Part II.	60	Nil.	∞	Nii.	61	61	9 9	25	40	
The Agricultural Department Test.	207	27	180 * No ca	30 4 * No candidate.	26	101	79	26.1	56.4	

APPENDIX A-cont.

SPECIAL TESTS-JUNE 1953-cont.

A Company of the Comp		SPECIA	SPECIAL TESTS—JUNE	NE 1953—con	ont.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Percenta	Percentage of passed
ling or a								to examined	nined.
Name of the test.	Number	Number	Number	Nump	Number passed.	Total.	Number		
	admitted.	absent.	examined.	I Class.	II Class.	J.	failed.	June 1953.	December 1952.
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(2)	(9)	(7)	(8)	(6)	(10)
The Jail Test, Part I.	: :::				a i		, .		
The Indian Penal Code	10	61	•	4	က	7	I	87.5	100
The Code of Criminal Procedure	10	67	00	1	נט	9	61	75	100
Laws, Rules, Regulations and Orders relating to Jail Manage-	12	80	6	-	4	1G	4	55.5	19.99
ment.				3					*1
The Account Test for Public Works Department Officers and Suboadinates	586	171	415	15	186	201	214	48.43	42.18
D'IDOI CHIMBIGE		J.,						•	
The Account Test for Executive Officers.	496	95	401	Nii.	331	331	20	82.5	6-68
The Account Test for Subordinate Officers, Part I.	2,880	573	2,307	6	418	427	1,880	78.5	33.27
The Account Test for Subordinate Officers, Part II.	419		322	61 .	152	1 11	151	53.1	61.15
The Jail Test, Part II.	10	Nii.	19	69	67	10	Nil.	100	100

APPENDIX A.1.

010		
 ,		
	CLO	
	TA SECTION	
	THE PERSON NAMED IN	

West of had and make				Number.				Percentage of passed to examined.	of passed oined.
Name of vest and subjects.	Admitted.	Absent.	Examined.	Passed first class.	Passed second class.	Total.	Failed.	June 1953.	December 1952.
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	6	(8)	(6)	(10)
Account Test for Public Works Workshops Officers.*	•	•	•	:	:	: "	:	:	:
The Electricity Department Account Test.	73	າວ	89	88	16	44	24	64.7	40.8
Departmental Test for Assistant Superintendent of Stamps and Stationery.*	**************************************	:, ,	;	: ;	: :	:	:	: .	
Departmental Test for Officers of the Madras Jail Service.*	:	•	: ,	:	:-	:	:	:	:
Departmental Test for Officers of the Madras Agricultural Service.	ಣ	-	cı	:	:	61	Nil.	100	100
Departmental Test for Officers of the Madras Commercial Taxes Subor- dinate Service.	364	27	337	: ;	; ,	49	288	14.5	10.3
Departmental Test for Officers of the	:	· :	:		3.				
Part I—Section (A)	36 35	6.8	252	::	::	55 S	410	85·19) 81·48 Co	Conducted for
Part II—Section (A) Part II—Section (B)	40 40	o o	31 31	::	::	8 6 80 80	ro 61		the first time.
Departmental Test on Motor Vehicles Rules and Regulations.	49	es ,	46	:	: ,	14	32	30.43	10.53
Departmental Test for Clerks in the Office of the Administrator-General	:	:	:	:	·:	. :	:-1		
and Official Trustee Departmental Test for Government Desa Officers *	:	•	:			:	:		
FIGSE CITICOTES									

Name of fast and anticets				Number.				Percentag to exa	Percentage of passed to examined.	ŤΩ
secondary and secondary	Admitted.	Absent.	Examined.	Passed first class.	Passed second class.	Total.	Failed.	June 1953.	December 1952.	
(1)	(2)	<u>©</u>	(4)	(2)	(9)	(7)	(8)	(6)	(10)	
Departmental Test for Motor Vehicle Inspectors—										NO
(a) Indian Motor Venicles Act, etc. (b) Code of Criminal Procedure	12	61 F	10	:	:	ස ි	7 EN	30	100	. 500
etc. Forest Department Test.		- :	10	:	:	07	in N	001	ne	υ, Γ
(i) The Madras Forest Depart- ment Code, etc.	9	H	õ	:	:	61	္	40 N	No examina-	UBL
(ii) The Standing Orders of the Board of Revenue, etc.	7	-	9	:	:	4	81	2.99	non. Do.	10 (1
Subordinate Accounts Service Exami-										ЭER
(i) Fundamental Rules and Madras Pension Gode	38	4	34	:	÷	12	22	35.29	Do.	VICE
(ii) Local Acts and Rules	32	ro r	27	:	:	17	00°	62.96	Do.	, (61
(iv) Commercial Book-keeping.	60 ee	ာ တ	25	: :	• :	18	o E-	72	Do.	OI
Departmental Test for clerks in the Police Department.	150	13	137	:	:	109	28	79.56	6	н 4.
Fisheries Department Test I	15		14	:	:	9	∞	42.85	53.85	LFI
Fisheries Department Test II—		,	3		,	1		*		
Part A	ic «	က လ	27.9	÷	•	⊢ 65	I	8.33 50	14.28	10
Part C	4	161	, 63	: :	:	Nil.	001	Nil.	87.5	υI
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments (Administration) Department Test.	35	19	16	:	•	4	12	26	38.46	
Labour and Factories Department						•				
Part A Part B Part C Part C Part B Part C Pa	189 153 65	15 16 12	174 137 53	Nii. Nii. Nii.	90 69 16	90 69 16	84 68 37	51.72 50.36 30.19	34.97 23.75 47.77	

52 91 36·36 22·69 74 40 64·91 47·75 17 14 56·83 64·70 17 12 56·83 64·70 18 49 66·83 64·70 140 24 85·37 59·77 17 5 77·27 72·22 17 5 77·27 72·22 1 1 50 100 1 1 50 100 1 1 50 100 1 1 100 50 1 1 100 50 1 1 100 50 1 1 100 50 1 1 100 50 1 1 100 50 1 1 100 50 1 1 100 50 1 1 10 25·8 1	84 30 54 64 64 31 33 196 79 115
14 54.83 36 77.91 49 64.23 24 85.37 5 77.27 Nil. 100 Nil. 100 Nil. 100 Nil. 100 Nil. 100 189 25.88 161 18.27 99 29.29 81 8.99	176 33 143 146 32 114 86 11 75
36 77-91 24 85-37 5 77-27 Nii. 100 1 50 Nii. 100 Nii. 100 Nii. 100 Nii. 100 100 110 110 110 110 110 110	9
24 85·37 5 77·27 Nil. 100 1 50 Nil. 100 Nil. 100 Nil. 100 299 42·61 189 25 88 161 18·27 99 29·29	192 29 163 160 23 137
Nil. 100 1 50 Nil. 100 Nil. 100 Nil. 100 Nil. 100 299 42.61 189 25 88 161 18-27 99 29-29 81 8-99	17
Nii. 100 1 50 1 8 51·35 Nii. 100 Nii. 100 299 42·61 189 25 88 161 18-27 99 29-29 81 8·99	-
18 51·35 Nil. 100 Nil. 100 299 42·61 189 25 88 161 18·27 99 29·29 81 8·99	2 Nii. 2
Nil. 100 Nil. 100 299 42-61 189 25-88 161 18-27 99 29-29 81 8-99	
Nil. 100 299 42-61 189 25-88 161 18-27 99 29-29 81 8-99	5 Nil. 5
299 42-61 189 25-88 161 18-27 99 29-29 81 8-99	5 Nil. 5
189 25 88 1 61 18·27 99 29·29 81 8·99	
161 18-27 99 29-29 81 8-99	296 41 355
99 29.29 81 8.99	
8:09	25
	26

			_			,			(-/,	-			-						
Percentage of passed to examined.	June-July December 1952— 1953. January 1953.		(10)	· .		58.54	5.	86.11		47.22		41.94		08		13.85		Conducted for the	first time.		Nil.	
rcentage of p	June-July 1953.		(6)	101	1 13 1 13	70-73		60.87		52.38		34.62	e e	90	0	28.12		25	*,>		Nii.	h Tyde
Pe	Number failed in the test.	54	8)			61		e	P i	Nil.		1		Nil.		-	* :	Nil.	-		Nil.	nd) her
pes	nber pas	uV ni	(5)	; 2 3 . 2		82	:	. 58		22	1	6		1		47		. —	:	÷	Nii.	:
-ima	IV ni be	7	(9)	SECOND-CLASS LANGUAGE TEST.	Tamil.	Nil. 60	Telugu.	1 31	Malayalam.	Nil. 22	Kannada.	Nil. 10	Urdu.	Nil. 1	Hindustani (Urdu) in the Nagari Script	1 48	Hindi.	Nil.		Origa.	Nil. Nil.	Admitted to Part ' D' only.
mit- the OCE	ња теdn ot be OV AVI oitanimax	A Pg	(4)	n ti		09	ž.	3 5 ∂.	į	22		10		-		40		-			ing.	
-ima At-ima -ima has	written mination. mination. minet eximation. my in Par my in	uV uV u	(3)		: :	828		40		45		56		α ,		08		4				
	da 1edmi				<u>.</u>	.	. 6	1		9		m	į	Nil	- 6	23		İ		ž	1	
	otal number admitted.		€.		95 1 4*		51 + 7*			} - 	•	3	•	1	109	•	•					

			Number.	д. 91	99	Percent to e	Percentage of passed to examined.	
Name of test and language:	Admitted.	Absent.	Examined.	Passed.	Failed.	June—July 1953.	December 1952 January 1953.	
Tries Class Language Past	(2)	(3)	(4)	(2)	(9)	(2).	(8)	
Tamil	96	. 19	. 11	65	12	; 84.4	84.84	INC
Telugu	. 30	9	24	23	7	95.83	93-75). i
Malayalam	. 53	10	19	18	.	94.74	81.25	OUC
Kannada	10	9	4	4	Nil.	100	80	, 1
(Urdu	61	Nil.	67	61	Nil.	100	•	PU
Hindustani (Urdu) in the Nagari Script	20	12	œ	80	Nil.	100	80	BL
*Hindi	. 2	4	1	-	Nil.	100 C	Conducted for the	IC
Special Language Test for Officers of the Educa-	:						first time.	(S
tion Department—Higher Standard—	:							ER
Tamil '	6 :	- 7	7	10	21	71.43	29.99	VIO
Telugu	6		∞ ∞	1	7	12.5	Nil.	ES
Malayalam	Ξ.	ຕ	∞	9	61	75	42.86),
Kannada	67	Nil.	61	61	Nil.	100	83-33	8T.
Urdu		Nil.	-	Nil.	1	Nil.	Nil.	H
Hindustani (Urdu) in the Nagari Script	99	14	52	. 87	24	53.87	47.5	AP
Special Language Test for Officers of the Educa-				* # # #			÷	RIL
non Department—Lower Standard.		Nil.		Nii.	-	. I	90	198
T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T		***						54
							14 10 00	
Tamil	63	Nil.	2	1	1	. 20	100	
Telugu Telugu	12	, J	Andrew Comment III . The second of the secon	8	က	72-72	44.44	
Malayalam	1	-	Nil.	Nil.	Nil.	Nil.	100	
Kannada	-	Nil.		Nil.	1	Nii.	Nil.	. 1
								L

O.A
ė
•
•
M
w
_
X
-
\mathbf{z}
Fσ
×
д
ĕ
Ы
F
f APPE

					July 1953.	1953.		
Name of test.					Number.			Percentage
			Admitted.	Absentees.	Examined.	Passed.	Failed.	to examined.
(1)			(2)	(3)	(4)	(6)	(9)	(2)
Lower Standard Examination of Assistant Collectors-	Collectors			,				
Division A—First Class Language Test	:	:	14	-	13	10	ಣ	7.2
Division B—Indian Penal Code and Special and Local Criminal Laws.	sial and Lo	cal Criminal	6	61	7	ŭ	61	71.4
Division B—Criminal Procedure Code	:	:	6	q	7	īĠ	63	71.4
Division C-Revenue	:	:	6	. ~	8	10	eo	62.5
Division D—Law of Evidence	:	:	6	-	80	4	4	20
Higher Standard Examination of Assistant Collectors-	Collectors	1						
Division A-First Class Language Test		:	က		63	61	•	100
Division B-Accounts	:	:	'n		4	61	67	20
Division C-Revenue		:	ī	•	ıφ	က	63	09
Division D-Survey and Land Records	:	:	63	1		1	:	100
Examination of Police Officers	·		*:					
Test A-Indian Penal Code and Special and Local Criminal Laws.	nd Local C	riminal Law	9 .	:	9	4	61	2.99
Test A Criminal Procedure Code	:	:	9	:	9	. 4	61	2.99
Test B-Law of Evidence	:	:	9	;	9	9	:	100
Test C-Medical Jurisprudence	.:	:	9 .	•	9	9	:	100
Test D—(i) Police Departmental Orders	::	: :	∞	•	∞	20	က	62.5
Test D-(ii) Scientific Aids to Investigat	ion	:	9	:	9	9	:	100
Test E—First Class Language Test—Higher Standard	her Standa		4	:	4	4	•	100
Examination of Forest Officers—								
(i) Forest Law	:	:	61	•	12	10	81	83.3
(ii) Forest Revenue	:	:	7	61	ıÇ,	4	r	80
(iii) Office Procedure and Accounts	:	: :	11	•		1	10	6
Language Test for Bank Officers	:	:	Ø	:	ça Ça		*	55.6
						_		

APPENDIX B.

Extracts from the report of the examiners in the Special Tests held in June 1953.

TRANSLATION TEST.

Tamil.

The performance of many of the candidates was very unsatisfactory and their translations abounded in spelling and grammatical mistakes and mistranslations.

Telugu.

Spelling, grammar and idiom in many cases were bad.

There was also unintelligible coinage of words, lack of application and defective structure of sentences, in some cases.

Malayalam.

The standard of the answers was poor. Most of the candidates did not possess grasp of the language. Some of the words coined were interesting such as "elasticable" for extension, "Reverened Governor" for His Excellency the Governor, "Scientifical" for scientific, etc.

Handwriting also was not very commendable.

Kannada.

The translations into English did not come up to standard and the English passages contained strange specimens of English prose.

The translations into Kannada were better.

Urdu.

The standard of the candidates was fair. They understood both the English and the Urdu passages set for translation and gave almost a complete rendering in both the languages. There were lapses of spelling and idiom and one or two mistakes of bad grammar; but on merits, the candidates deserve a pass.

THE CIVIL JUDICIAL TEST.

Part I.

The candidates showed good acquaintance with the Acts in general, but in regard to the problems relating to Court-fees, most of them were ill-equipped and a majority failed to secure even the minimum marks in these questions.

Notwithstanding the warning given at the head of the question papers that sections from the Acts ought not to be copied out verbatim, there was a general tendency to copy out verbatim. The language and general ability of the candidates revealed by the answers were on the whole fairly good.

Part II.

There was no improvement in the candidates' knowledge of general principles or in their capacity for detailed application.

THE REVENUE TEST.

Part I-First Paper.

The standard was very poor. A large number of candidates had not understood the general principles of the codes. Many quoted

verbatim either from the Regulations or from the Board's Standing Orders without understanding the principles and without knowing what exactly the principles enunciated in the rules that were applicable to the particular questions. A large number of candidates had not cared to read the questions properly with the result that they wrote a lot of irrelevant matter.

It was clear that most of the candidates did not familiarise themselves with the books prescribed. One or two candidates actually got "zero" marks as they did not answer even a single question correctly. Some of the straightforward book questions could have been answered by any candidate who had made some attempt to study the book at least once or had his eyes and ears open while he was working in any office, be it a Taluk Office, a Divisional Office or a Collector's Office. Candidates appeared to have been carried away by a feeling that they could write something to get at least 40 per cent as the answers were only to be copied from the books and so did not worry themselves to go through the books at least once. The standard of English in many cases was deplorably poor and spelling mistakes were intolerable, e.g., a "ryot" is spelt as "right" "heir" is spelt as "hair". Instances may be multiplied to illustrate very poor spelling. This indicated that the average level was very low.

Part II.

In a majority of cases the candidates had not studied their books. Evidently, they seemed to have an erroneous idea that they could fish out answers from the books and copy them. The result was that without understanding what the question was, and what the answer should be, irrelevant matters and practically all the paragraphs on the subject were indiscriminately copied. Very few candidates answered directly to the questions.

Another factor was what most of the candidates lacked sense of proportions, so much so that while they wrote pages after pages for a sub-division of a question, they wrote very meagre answers for the main questions which required an elaborate presentation. In some answer papers the question numbers were carelessly and wrongly noted. Bad English and spelling mistakes were common. Many of the candidates had not quoted the authority on which the answers were based and some had wasted their whole time in copying from the books, forms and statements which were not wanted at all.

However the results were fairly satisfactory.

THE CRIMINAL JUDICIAL TEST, PART II, MEDICAL JURISPRUDENCE.

The performance of the candidates was satisfactory.

THE AGRICULTURAL DEPARTMENT TEST.

In most cases the answers were not to the point and generally verbose. The candidates had therefore not enough time to answer all questions.

THE ACCOUNT TEST FOR P.W.D. OFFICERS AND SUBORDINATES.

The Madras Public Works Account Code, etc.

An analysis of the results showed that the candidates who failed generally omitted to answer two or three questions. If they had answered all the questions and got some marks in each question they would have obtained the minimum pass marks.

THE ACCOUNT TEST FOR EXECUTIVE OFFICERS.

The candidates had done generally well in all the questions except the questions from "Introduction to Government Accounts and Audit" and "Pension Code". Most of the candidates did not answer these two questions correctly. The questions were not difficult and any one who had some experience in an office should have no difficulty in answering them with the help of books.

THE ACCOUNT TEST FOR SUBORDINATE OFFICERS, PART I.

The performance of the candidates was unsatisfactory. Except half a dozen persons, all other examinees had not worked out the problems correctly. They miserably lacked practical knowledge or rules and procedure. This unsatisfactory result indicated lack of proper preparation on the part of the candidates. In general, the candidates did not possess the requisite knowledge to tackle practical questions and many of them were not able to answer even bookish questions correctly.

The answers showed generally poor knowledge of the subject. The difficulty to get up-to-date books of reference might have contributed in a great degree to the poor results.

A fair number of candidates unnecessarily copied verbatim, the rules bearing on the subject without making it clear that they had understood their application.

Omission to write the number of the questions in the answer book or incorrect numbering was noticed in a few cases.

DEPARTMENTAL TESTS.

SECOND-CLASS LANGUAGE TEST, PART A-WRITTEN EXAMINATION.

Tamil.

The performance of the candidates was on the whole fair. Several answer books however abounded in mistakes of grammar, spelling and translation.

Telugu.

Handwriting in some cases required patient plodding through.

Spelling in many cases was bad.

Grammar was on a par with spelling.

Idiom fared no better than spelling. Only a few candidates revealed a real grasp of the genius of the Telugu language. Vernacular idioms and phrases were freely used by some candidates.

Some of the candidates did not seem to have devoted serious attention to the study of the language. If they had devoted a little more attention, they would have fared better.

Malayalam.

The general standard of answers was fairly satisfactory. The handwriting also was fairly good.

Kannada.

The general standard of the candidates was very poor. The unsuccessful candidates, did not make any serious effort to learn the language. Most of the candidates seemed to be altogether ignorant of the principles of word formation.

Urdn.

The standard as usual was poor; idiom, grammar and spelling were neglected to a very large extent.

Hindustani (Urdu) in the Nagari Script.

The standard of the candidates was generally poor, and little attention was paid to idiom, grammar or spelling. Handwriting was fair. The tendency of the candidates was to express themselves in a pedantic high-flown Hindustani rather than in the familiar commonly spoken language.

Hindi.

The standard was not satisfactory for want of expression.

There were grammar and spelling mistakes. But the expression was tolerable.

Oriya.

The sense of the whole passage was not brought out by the candidate. Many important sentences were omitted. Idiom and grammar too were defective.

SUBORDINATE ACCOUNTS SERVICE EXAMINATION.

Fundamental Rules and Madras Pension Code.

The performance of the candidates was poor and their knowledge of the rules was far below the average and below that expected of Subordinate Accounts Service candidates. No method or way of working was adopted in a majority of cases, and in some case some working was made with no details as to what they were, etc. Several candidates did not understand the questions, or the points or intricacies involved with the result that the salient points were not touched at all. This was due to lack of analytical and critical study of the rules. The arithmetical calculations were incorrect with the result that the correct answers could not be arrived at in several cases.

The preparation and knowledge of a great majority of the candidates was very poor and far below the standard expected.

DEPARTMENTAL TEST FOR CLERKS IN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT.
The answers were generally good.

FISHERIES DEPARTMENT, TEST II.

Part B.

The standard of the candidates was rather poor. Almost all candidates revealed a poor knowledge of the achievements of the Fisheries Department on the Inland Fisheries development side. It was evident that none of the candidates had gone through the departmental

bulletins. Only one candidate could give a proper answer to the question "On the objects of fish farming" even though fish farming was one of the important items of work done on the Inland Fisheries side. Almost all the candidates showed lack of information regarding the availability of fingerlings in the different parts of the State. Invariably all showed lack of proper scientific knowledge of Fisheries aspects and terms.

FISHERIES DEPARTMENT TEST I.

The general performance was poor.

LABOUR AND FACTORIES DEPARTMENTS TEST, PART B.

The standard of answers in this examination was slightly higher than in the previous examination.

Special Language Test for Officers of the Education Department—Higher Standard.

Malayalam.

Most of the candidates seems to have sat for the test with insufficient preparation. Two had not even attained the ability to read and write.

The most important of the language defects noticed were:

- (1) Bad spelling. Candidates with mother tongue Tamil spelt Malayalam words like Tamil words.
- (2) Malayalam verbs were declined like Tamil verbs and made to agree with the subject in number and person.
- (3) The genitive and dative cases of Malayalam nouns were formed like the genitive and dative of Tamil nouns.

Other defects seen were-

- (1) Tamil idioms were used freely.
- (2) When at a loss for a Malayalam word, a Tamil word was pressed into service, and
- (3) Not infrequently whole sentences were constructed in Tamil and written in Malayalam characters.

The standard of the answers was definitely low.

HINDUSTANI (URDU) IN THE NAGARI SCRIPT.

Most of the failures was mainly due to bad grammar. There were also a few cases of single digit marks but they were confined to candidates who had either no knowledge of the texts or had not cared to attempt more than one or two questions.

REGISTRATION DEPARTMENT TEST.

Group III-A.

Standard of answers was fairly satisfactory. Answers of failed candidates disclosed either lack of practical knowledge or proper understanding of questions.

Group III-B.

The examinees had a fair knowledge in Company Law, etc. 500, Pub. (Sers.)—4

PORT DEPARTMENT TEST.

Higher Grade.

The standard of answers written by the candidates was moderate.

Lower Grade.

The standard of answers written by the candidates was fair.

LOCAL FUND AUDIT DEPARTMENT TEST.

Fundamental Rules and Madras Travelling Allowance Rules.

The performance was very poor in a majority of cases and even rudiments were not understood. The question was not properly understood in many cases with the result that extraneous matters were written, apart from irrelevant things. Answers to the point and method of work were found wanting in almost all candidates.

DEPARTMENTAL TEST FOR MOTOR VEHICLE INSPECTORS.

Indian Motor Vehicles Act, etc.

Candidates were for the most part unfamiliar with the subject and even most elementary and practical questions were answered incorrectly. Apparently candidates did not make a proper study of the subject.

Code of Criminal Procedure, etc.

The candidates possessed a fair working knowledge both of the Code of Criminal Procedure and of the Police Orders and Practice. The satisfactory results were due to the fact that the candidates paid greater attention to the study of these subjects.

HINDU RELIGIOUS AND CHARITABLE ENDOWMENTS (ADMINISTRATION)
DEPARTMENT TEST.

The candidates did not appear to have bestowed any attention on the Act and the Rules.

FOREST DEPARTMENT TEST.

The performance of the examinees was average.

DEPARTMENTAL TEST FOR OFFICERS OF THE MADRAS COMMERCIAL TAXES SUBORDINATE SERVICE.

The answers of the candidates were very very poor and only a few showed any capacity for a practical application of their knowledge of the Acts and the Rules.

FIELD SURVEYOR'S TEST (HANDWRITING AND DICTATION) AND I AND II PAPERS IN TELUGU.

The performance of the candidates was fair, but not up to the mark. Some of the candidates secured pass marks in one paper and failed in the other. Many of the candidates did not understand 'the questions properly with the result that their answers were beside the point. On the whole the candidates did not have a fundamental grasp of the principles of survey and were not able to fully and clearly express their ideas in Telugu.

FIELD SURVEYOR'S TEST (HANDWRITING AND DICTATION) AND I AND II PAPERS IN KANNADA.

The handwriting of the candidates was neither good nor bad. While the candidates answered well in the I paper they fared badly in the second.

DEPUTY SURVEYOR'S TEST—HANDWRITING AND DICTATION—KANNADA.

All the candidates fared satisfactorily in the examination.

DEPUTY SURVEYOR'S TEST, I AND II PAPERS IN ENGLISH.

The answer papers revealed very poor knowledge of English. The candidates did not take pains to prepare well for the examination. They were just trying their luck and most of them wrote exactly as in the books without even changing the language. Their knowledge of the maintenance portion of the test was very poor.

COMPUTATION TEST.

The poor result disclosed that men who were recruited for the temporary survey parties with little educational qualification and service sat for the test.

APPENDIX C.

Scheduled castes and Scheduled tribes.	Backward classes.	competi-	Total.
(1)	(2)	tion. (3)	(4)

STATE SERVICES.

MADRAS AGRICULTURAL SERVICE.

(1) District Agricultural Officer.

Number of vacancies-1.

The state of the second	111	·		00		
Number of applications received	• •	• •	2	32	31	. 05
Number of applicants qualified	••	••	1*	32	29	63
Number of applicants examined	••	••	1.	- 27†	27‡	55
Number recruited	**	. • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	13	• • •	••	1
		1 TP So (Aa)			50	

Qualification of the candidate recruited—B.Sc. (Ag).

(2) Assistant Mycologist.

Number of vacancies-1.

Number of applications received	••	• • •		Nil.		Nil.	5 (T)	
Number of applicants qualified	÷.	1		Nil.	Arres	Nil.	(Transferees	3).
Number of applicants examined				Nil.		Nil. Nil.	5 1	
Qualification of the candidate in	ecruit	ed—B,Sc	. (Bota	ny).		i i		

^{*} One candidate was absent from the oral test.

[†] Five candidates were absent from the oral test. † Two candidates were absent from the oral test.

Selected against the turn reserved for open competition.

		Scheduled castes and Scheduled tribes.	Backward classes.	Open competi- tion.	Total.
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	STATE SER	VICES—cont.			
MADR	AS AGRICULTU	RAL SERVICE—C	ont.		
(3) Assistant P	addy Specialist.			
	Number of v	racancies—2.			
Number of applications received Number of applicants qualified Number of applicants examined Number recruited		Nil. Nil. Nil. Nil.	Nil. Nil. Nil. Nil.	7 7 7 2	7 7 7 2
Qualification of the candidate rec	ruited—B.Sc.	(Ag).			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4) Assistant C	otton Specialist.			
	Number of	vacancies—4.	•		
Number of applications received Number of applicants qualified Number of applicants examined Number recruited		Nil. Nil. Nil. Nil.	4 3 3 2	7 6 6 2	11· 9 9 4
Qualifications of the candidates r					
	B.Sc. (A. B.Sc. (A. M.Sc. (B	g), M.Sc	2 1 1		
(5) Assistant Oil	Seeds Specialist		* 4	
	Number of	vacancies—1.			
Number of applications received Number of applicants qualified Number of applicants examined Number recruited		Nil. Nil. Nil. Nil.	1 1 1 Nil.	1 1 1	2 2 2 1
Qualification of the candidate rec	ruited—B.Sc.	(Ag).			
(6) Assist	ant Agriculture	ıl Engineer (Mec	hanical).		
(6) Assist	ant Agriculture Number of v	nl Engineer (Mec 7acancies—3.	hanical).		
Number of applications received Number of applicants qualified Number of applicants examined	3.3 Fig. 1		10 5 3*	16 7 5 † Nil .	27 12 8‡
Number of applications received Number of applicants qualified Number of applicants examined Number recruited	Number of v	racancies—3. 1 Nil. Nil.	10 5 3*	7 5 †	12
Number of applications received Number of applicants qualified Number of applicants examined Number recruited	Number of v	racancies—3. 1 Nil. Nil.	10 5 3* 3	7 5 †	12 8‡
Number of applications received Number of applicants qualified Number of applicants examined Number recruited Qualifications of the candidates r	Number of v	racancies—3. I Nil. Nil. Nil. Nil. Nil.	10 5 3* 3	7 5† Nil .	12 8‡
Number of applications received Number of applicants qualified Number of applicants examined Number recruited Qualifications of the candidates r	Number of v	vacancies—3. 1 Nil. Nil. Nil. Nil. Sil. Mechanical	10 5 3* 3	7 5† Nil .	12 8‡
Number of applications received Number of applicants qualified Number of applicants examined Number recruited Qualifications of the candidates r	Number of v	racancies—3. I Nil. Nil. Nil. Nil. Section (Mechanical) Italiets Specialist.	10 5 3* 3	7 5† Nil .	12 8‡

[†] Two candidates were absent from the oral test.
‡ Three candidates were found not qualified at the oral test.
§ One candidate was found not qualified at the oral test.

Scheduled castes and Scheduled tribes.	Backward classes.	Open competi- tion.	Total.
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)

STATE SERVICES-cont.

MADRAS AGRICULTURAL SERVICE-cont.

(8) Millets Specialist.

	Num	per of vacanci	e s—1			
Number of applications received .			Nil.	Nil.	10	10
Number of applicants qualified .			Nil.	Nil.	6	6
Number of applicants examined .		• •	Nil.	Nil	6 .	6
Number recruited			Nil.	Nil.	. 1	1
Qualification of the candidates rec	ruited	1—B.Sc. (Ag.).				

(9) Paddy Specialist.

	Nur	nber of v	acancies—1.			
Namber of applications received			Nil.	1	4	5
Number of applicants qualified			Nil.	1	4	.5
Number of applicants examined	• •	••	Nil.	1	4	5
Number recruited	• •	••	Nil.	Nil.	1	1
Qualification of the candidate rec	ruited	-B.Sc. (Ag).			

(10) Superintendent, Agricultural Research Stations (Coconut Research).

	Number of	vacancies—1.			
Number of applications received		Nil.	2	2	4
Number of applicants qualified		Nil.	2	1	3
Number of applicants examined		Nil.	2	. 1	3
Number recruited		Nil.	. 1	Nil.	1
Qualification of the candidate rec	ruited-B.Sc	. (Ag.).	3.6		

MADRAS ANIMAL HUSBANDRY SERVICE.

(11) Superintendent, Dairy-cum-Bull Farm, Visakhapatnam.

Number of vacancies-1. Number of applications received 5 * Nil Number of applicants qualified Number of applicants examined Nil. Number recruited

Qualifications of the candidate recruited-

G.M.V.C. (Madras), National Diploma in Dairying (granted by the National Examination Board [United Kingdom].

MADRAS FISHERIES SERVICE.

(12) Assistant Director of Fisheries (Engineer).

Number of vacancies-1.

Number of applications received Number of applicants qualified	• •	• •	Ni		8	11
Number of applicants qualified	• • •		Ni	il. Nil.	2	2
her of applicants examined	• •,		Ni		1	1
Ninger recruited	• •	• •	Ni	il. Nil.	1	1

Qualifications of the candidate recruited—B.Sc. (Madras)

Diploma in Refregeration and Air conditioning of the Industrial Training Institute, Chicago.

^{*} One candidate was found not qualified at the oral test.

[†] One candidate who was in Denmark could not attend the oral test and another candidate was also absent.

[‡] One candidate was absent from the oral test.

		Scheduled castes and Scheduled tribes.	Backward classes.	Open competition.	Total.
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	STATE SERVI				
	MADRAS GENERA				
(13) Chief 2	Accountant in the	Electricity D	spartment.		
	Number of va	cancies—5.	*		
Number of applications received Number of applicants qualified Number of applicants examined Number recruited	•• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Nil. Nil. Nil. Nil.	6 1 2 * Nil.	34 23 33 † 5	40 24 35 15
Qualifications of the candidates r	ecruited—		-		
	tute of India B.A. (He the II Accordance B.Com., tion Accordance Fellow Char	Ions.), Associativate of Cuntants passed the prescribed untants Member of tered Account	iate Member cost and Winding for Chart the Institu	ts of or of orks onina- tered or 2 te of	
A 1	LADRAS INDUSTR				**
(14) S	pecial Office r for .	Mines and M	inerals.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Number of vac	ancies—1.	1.5		
Number of applications received Number of applicants qualified Number of applicants examined Number recruited		Nil. Nil. Nil· Nil. Iotallurgy) (E	Nil. Nil. Nil. Nil, Senares.)	13 7 7 1	13 7 7 1
	MADRAS MEDICA	AL SERVICE.			
(15) A	ssistant Professo	r of Dental Sa	uraerai		
(-9/			wigory.		
Number of applications received Number of applicants qualified Number of applicants examined Number recruited	Number of vac	Nil. Nil. Nil. Nil. Nil.	Nil. Nil. Nil. Nil.	3 2 2	3 2 2
Qualification of the candidate recr	mited I.D.S./D		MII.	1 .	1
MA	DRAS PUBLIO HI	EALTH SERVIC	E.		
	(16) Health Office	er, Class II.			
	Number of vac	ancies—36.			
Number of applications received Number of applicants qualified Number of applicants examined Number recruited		2 Nil. Nil. Nil.	8 8 5 ‡ 5	17 16 13 11	27 24
Qualifications of the candidates r	M.B.B.S. B.Sc., M.J	R.R.S		8	Ģ™ is Visas
right with district	L.M.P.			3	
* Includes one candidate w practical experience. † Includes 10 candidates who				ossess the p	

⁷ includes to candidates who applied last time but who did not possess the prescribed

[†] Two candidates were absent from the oral test and one candidate withdrew-his applica-

[§] Three candidates were absent from the oral test.

Scheduled castes and Scheduled tribes.	Backward classes.	Open competi- tion	Total.	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	

STATE SERVICE-cont,

MADRAS STATIONERY AND PRINTING SERVICE.

(17) Assistant Superintendent, Government Press.

Number of vacancies-3.

Number of applications received	 	Nil.	4	27	31
Number of applicants qualified	 	Nil.	3	13	16
Number of applicants examined	 	Nil.	3	14	17
Number recruited	 	Nil.	Nil.	1	1 *

Qualifications of the candidate recruited-

(1) L.P.T., Madras.

(2) Final examination in Typegraphy, Section I (Compositor's work) of the City and Guilds of London Institute.

(3) Undergone a course of Instruction in Composing and Proof reading and in Machine minding for two years and passed the examinations.

* One candidate was found not qualified at the oral test.

SUBORDINATE SERVICES.

MADRAS AGRICULTURAL SUBORDINATE SERVICE.

Upper Subordinates.

Number of vacancies advertised-60.

Number of applications received		3	40	258	301
Number of applicants qualified		3	36	247	286
Number of applicants examined		3	35	234	272
Number recruited		3	14	43	60
Qualifications of the selected candida	ates—				

B.Sc. (Agriculture) ...

MADRAS CO-OPERATIVE SUBORDINATE SERVICE.

Dairy Chemists.

Number of vacancies advertised.-

			Milk Test		••	: 1	
Number of applications received	٠.,		••	2	4	6	
Number of applicants qualified				• •	1	1	
Number of applicants examined	• •		• •	• •	1	. 1	
ber recruited	• •	• •		•••	1	1.	t

Qualification of the selected candidate-

B.Sc. (Madras). I.D.D. (Bangalore). M.Sc. (Bombay).

Ph. D. (Purdue U.S.A.)

M.S. (Purdue).

[†] It has not yet been decided to appoint him as the candidate's request to start on Rs. 225 per month in the scale of Rs. 175—5—225 per month is under consideration of the Government.

As regards the selection for the post of milk tester, the Government has subsequently enhanced the age-limit from 35 years to 40 years in consultation with the Commission. The vacancy was therefore readvertised in October 1953 after the rules were amended.

		Scheduled tribes.	Backward classes,	Open competi- tion.	Total.
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
SUI	BORDINATE SE	RVICES—con	t.		
MADRAS	EDUCATIONAL SU	BORDINATE SE	RVICE.		
Assistant Lectur	ers in Government	Training Coll	leges—1953		
	Tamil—Edu	cation.			
Nun	aber of vacancies	advertised-	2.		
Number of applications received				3	20
Number of applicants qualified Number of applicants examined Number recruited				2 2 2 2	2 2 2
Qualifications of the selected can	didates—M.A., M	.Ed.			
	Tamil—Math	matics.			
Num	ber of vacancies	advertised_1			
Number of applications received					~
Number of applicants qualified		•		1	1
Number of applicants examined Number recruited			•.•	1	1
Qualifications of the selected cand	lidates—M.A., L.	T.			
	Tamil—Sci	en ce			
Nu	mber of vacancie		9		
Number of applications received	moor or vacancies	s au veruseu—	2.		A
Number of applicants qualified		•••		. 4	4
Number of applicants examined Number recruited			••	i	1
Qualifications of the selected can	lidates—B.Sc. (E	Ions.), B.T.	••	1	
	Social Star				
17.	Social Stu				
	mber of vacancie	advertised—	1.		
Number of applications received Number of applicants qualified		••	1	5 -	6
Number of applicants examined Number recruited				. 3	3
Qualification of the selected cand	idate—B.A. (Hor	ng \ T. T	••	1,	i
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	120,	13.), 11.1.			1.1 in 18
	Tolyana 35-41				
N	Telugu—Math	24.1			
	mber of vacancie	s_advertised—	1.	× ,** - 5	
Number of applications received Number of applicants qualified			1	4	. 5
Number of applicants examined Number recruited			••	3	3
Qualification of the selected cand	idate—M.A., B.E	d		Ĭ	ĭ
	4 W		11 18 S	The second	

Scheduled

		castes and Scheduled tribes.	Backward classes.	Open competi- tion.	Total.
			-	. ,	• • •
SUB	ORDINATE SE	RVICES—co	mi.		
Madras Edu	CATIONAL SUBOR	RDINATE SER	RVICE—cont.		
Assistant Lecturers	s in Government	Training Co	olleges—1953	-cont.	
	Telugu	1.			
Num	ber of vacancies	advertised	-1.		
Number of applications received				3	3
Number of applicants qualified	• • • • • •			2	2
number of applicants examined	•• ••	• • •		2 1	2
Number recruited	idata MAR'	r		•	- ·
Qualifications of the selected cand	Idale-II.A., D.	••			
	Malayal	om	100		
Nun	aber of vacancies	s advertised-	−1.		
Number of applications received				2	2
Number of applicants qualified				1	1
Number of applicants examined	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••		1 *	1
Number recruited	••	••	••	Nil.	Nil
					Spring Con-
MADRAS	ENGINEERING S	UBORDINATI	E SERVICE.		617
Radio Syper	visors in the Stat	e Broadcastin	ng Departme	ent.	
	nber of vacancie				•.
(The Chief Engineer subs	equently stated	that 40 cand	lidates migi	nt be selecte	d).
Number of applications received		4	8	70 .	82
Number of applicants qualified	· · · · · · · · ·	•	4	47	51
Number of applicants examined	••	• • •	4 2	42 21	46
Number recruited		••	4	41	23
Qualifications of the selected car				- W V	
	Graduate	s.) Physics w ship of	the Brit	ish	
		of Radio	Engineers	. 2	
	London Certificat	e in Radio	Servicing an		
	Polytech	nce, Artl nic, Coimbat	ore	. 17	
	I.S.L.C.	in Radio S	ervicing and	1	
		nce, V.S. R titu te, Ma dı		s 1	
		V. S. R			
	Radio Ins	titute, Madı	ras	1	
		in Radio Te			
		hamarajand		1	
	hanonar r	nstitute, Ba	angarora		
MADI	RAS MEDICAL ST	BORDINATE	SERVICE.		
	Jod Wananaal I			a Consummer	

Statistician in the up-graded Venereal Diseases Department in the Government General Hospital, Madras.

Number of	vacancies	advertised—1.
-----------	-----------	---------------

Number of applications received Number of applicants qualified	• • •			1	3	5 4
Number of applicants examined		•		.1	3	4
Number recruited				1		1
Qualifications of the selecter Statistics as special subject.	l c	andidate—B.Sc.	(Hons.)	(Annamalai)	Mathematics	with

^{*} The applicant who was interviewed was found later not qualified.

APPENDIX C-1.

REPORTS OF THE DEPARTMENTAL EXPERTS ON THE TECHNICAL ATTAINMENTS AND THE PERFORMANCE OF THE CANDIDATES AT THE ORAL TESTS.

DISTRICT AGRICULTURAL OFFICERS.

The candidates were examined in respect of their work, for those in service and their achievements against targets in district work, within jurisdiction. Questions were also asked about agricultural practices like green manuring, plant protection and agricultural development. Generally the standard exhibited was low in respect of market surveys, or regulated markets, and most candidates were not in touch with any aspect of market survey or development. Some candidates had only experience in plant protection work and a few others in research only. Officers in district work generally showed knowledge of the district work programme of the department, but only a few showed competency and merit, capable of undertaking organization or planning work in demonstration or propaganda. On the whole the standard of the candidates was moderately fair in knowledge and achievement.

ASSISTANT MILLET SPECIALIST AND CHILLIES SPECIALIST.

Of the two applicants who were interviewed for the post of Chillies Specialist, one did not possess the necessary experience in breeding of chillies while the other who was for a considerable time a worker in applied field of paddy research, was not adequately in touch with the current or recent trends in research work in many aspects of chillies improvement or in the allied subjects.

2. Seven candidates appeared before the Commission for the post of Assistant Millets Specialist of whom two were for selection by direct recruitment. Neither of these two candidates possessed adequate background of agricultural training or millet crop farming. Their knowledge of this group of crops was very partial in scope being limited to only a very few aspects of improvement of millet. Among the departmental candidates although the qualifications possessed by all of them could be deemed generally as adequate there were clear differences among them based on the length of service and experience in handling the crop, so that the final choice had naturally to fall on the seniormost assistants in the section.

SPECIAL OFFICER FOR MINES AND MINERALS.

Among the seven candidates who appeared for the oral test, only one seemed to know his job. He had fair knowledge of Geology and Mining methods. He seemed to be intelligent and had good personality and physique. Except two or three, the others also had good personality, but the knowledge of most of them was confined to the work they were doing and some of them did not seem to know anything shout mining. One or two could not identify the samples of minerals shown to them. Generally, the candidates' knowledge of mining and Geology was poor.

MADRAS AGRICULTURAL SUBORDINATE SERVICE.

Upper Subordinates.

For recruitment to the agricultural section, which was limited to persons with a working knowledge of Tamil or Malayalam, candidates were examined in regard to their general knowledge of agriculture in such items as classification of soils, application of manures, soil conservation and plant protection. For persons already in temporary service, questions were asked also in regard to the actual turnover of each applicant and the individual performance against the targets fixed for different items of district work. The general knowledge exhibited was fair. Some candidates had to their credit good performances in such items as seed distribution green manure work and propaganda for intensive cultivation.

For requirement to the Science section, the candidates were examined in respect of their suitability to three groups of subjects, namely, (1) Chemistry, (2) Cotton, fruits and millets and (3) Pepper and potatoes. Many candidates from the Telugu area applied for these posts, as they were not eligible for the vacancies in the agricultural section. Candidates were tested for the particular group and in the case of botany graduates for their special knowledge of genetics in regard to posts meant for the millet, fruit or cotton group. Questions were asked for each particular crop chosen by the candidates, about methods of selection inheritance studies, manuring and cultural practices. Only a few candidates showed particular knowledge of the crops included in the groups. The standard of candidates who appeared for the Chemistry group was good, with a fair working knowledge of analytical methods. On the whole, capacity and merit was known only by a few candidates for the vacancies in the crops specified.

Madras Engineering Subordinate Service—Radio Supervisors.

Forty-six candidates appeared for the interview. The general academic qualifications of these candidates ranged from S.S.L.C. and Intermediate to B.Sc., B.Sc. (Hons.), M.Sc. and B.E. (Telecom.). Of these, from the point of view of answers which demanded a practical knowledge of Radio Servicing and Battery-charging, the best answers really came from those with general educational qualification of only S.S.L.C. or Intermediate, with a certificate or diploma in 'Radio Servicing and Maintenance'. Among these, the students of the Arthur Hope Polytechnic, Coimbatore, gave the best performance when compared with the students of other institutions like the V. S. Rajagopalan's Radio Institute, Madras, and Vincent's Technical Institute, Tiruchirappalli. As among the degree candidates, the B.Sc. Physics graduates had not given a good performance. Even there, the three candidates from the Madras University gave in general a slightly better performance than the one B.Sc. from Annamalai. The students of the Madras University, particularly B.Sc. (Hons.) Physics candidates of the Presidency College, Madras, with Wireless as special subject have fared well. They showed a keen appreciation of the Physics and Mathematics underlying the Science of Radio Engineering, though they too seemed to lack certain practical experience in 'Radio Servicing' or 'Battery Charging'. For example, many of the graduates could not explain how to take the specific gravity readings of a lead-acid battery with a hydrometer, or how the divisions are calibrated on a hydrometer, or how the electrolyte in a battery is prepared, either by adding sulphuric acid to water or water to acid. These are the questions which were best answered after practical experience and not by taking academic degree even of M.Sc. or B.E. standard.

MADRAS CO-OPERATIVE SUBORDINATE SERVICE—DAIRY CHEMIST.

The performance of the candidate at the oral test was quite good and his attainments fairly high.

Order-No. 500. Public (Services), dated 8th April 1954.

Recorded.

(By order of the Governor)

K. RAMUNNI MENON. Chief Secretary.

To the Secretary, Madras Public Service Commission, Madras.

Departments of the Secretariat (excluding Law Department). ,,

Private Secretary to the Governor. ,,

- Consul for the United States of America, Madras. ,,
- Information Officer, Press Information Bureau, Government of India, Khaleel Mansions, Mount Road, Madras. ,,

Librarian, Madras Legislature, Madras. "

- Librarian, Parliament Library of the Government of India, New Delhi. ,, Government of India, Ministry of Home Affairs, New Delhi (with cover-
- ,, ing letter). Chief of the Exchange and Gift Division of the Library of the Congress. ,, Washington-25. D.C.